

(Draft notification regarding "The State Level Grievances Committee for Scheduled Castes and Backward Classes" to safeguard their interests and to report the cases of their victimization to the Government).

### WELFARE OF SCHEDULED CASTES AND BACKWARD CLASSES DEPARTMENT

The 22nd January, 1979

No. 7/2/79-SW(4).—In accordance with the accepted strategy for the overall development and in upliftment of the Scheduled Castes and Backward Classes and in order to safeguard their interests and to prevent victimization, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to constitute "The State Level Grievances Committee" for the welfare of Scheduled Castes and Backward Classes, consisting of the following members :—

1. Shri Phul Chand, Ex. M.L.A., Advocate, Sonapat .. Chairman
2. Shri Jai Narain, M.L.A., Kalanaur (Rohtak) .. Member
3. Shri Mani Ram, M.L.A., Dabawali .. Member
4. Shri (Dr.) Verender Kumar Sataywadi, Ex. M.P. Shahbad Markanda (Kurukshetra) .. Member
5. Director-cum-Deputy Secretary to Government Haryana, Welfare of Scheduled Castes and Backward Classes, Department, Haryana .. Member-Secretary
2. The functions of this Committee will be :—
  - (i) to devote special attention to the cases of victimization and discrimination in respect of the members of the Scheduled Castes and Backward Classes, and to put up a report to the Government to remedy the same ;
  - (ii) to review the quantum of welfare measures available to the members of the Scheduled Castes and Backward Classes in the State and to suggest remedial measures to the State Government for the expeditious and equitable implementation of these programmes; and
  - (iii) to look into the grievances of the members of the Scheduled Castes and Backward Classes and report to the Government suggesting measures for the redressal of the same.
3. This Committee shall meet every quarter or as may be decided by the Chairman.
4. Three members shall form the quorum of the meeting including the member-Secretary.
5. The term of this Committee shall be one year and it should submit its report latest by 28th February, 1980.
6. The Headquarter of this Committee shall be Chandigarh.

7. The terms and conditions of the Chairman/Members of the State Level Grievances Committee in respect of Scheduled Castes and Backward Classes shall be the same as laid down by the State Government in respect of the Chairman/Members of the various Corporations in the State.

M. KUTTAPPAN, Secy.

### DEVELOPMENT AND PANCHAYAT DEPARTMENT

The 19th January, 1979

No. 92-5ECDI-79/467.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to order that the following Block Development and Panchayat Officers, while holding the charge of their respective Blocks shall also exercise the powers of Drawing and Disbursing and Controlling Officer in respect of Block mentioned against their names till the present regular Block Development and Panchayat Officers of Hansi-I, Hansi-II and Barwala Blocks return after completing their training :—

Sr. No.	Name of the B. D. & P. O. alongwith the present place of his posting.	Block allotted
1	Shri Giani Ram Hissar-I Block	Hansi-I
2	Shri Mansa Ram Narnaund Block	Hansi-II
3	Shri Balbir Singh Sangwan Tohana Block	Barwala

However, Sarvshri Giani Ram, Mansa Ram and Balbir Singh Sangwan, Block Development and Panchayat Officers shall not be entitled to any extra remuneration for this job.

B. L. MITTAL Jt. Secy.

## LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 8th January, 1979

No. 11(112)-3-Lab-79/63.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Hindustan Engineering Works, Bahadurgarh.

BEFORE SHRI NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
PRESIDING OFFICER,  
LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference Nos. 74 and 75 of 1976

*between*

SHRI RAM CHANDER AND SHRI  
PANNA LAL WORKMEN AND THE  
MANAGEMENT OF M/S. HINDUSTAN  
ENGG. WORKS. BAHADURGARH.

*Present:*

Shri Onkar Parshad, for the workmen.

Shri M. M. Kaushal, for the management.

## AWARD

By order Nos. ID/RK/173-76/32834 and ID/RK/173-76/32828, dated 2nd September, 1976, the Governor of Haryana referred the following disputes between the management of M/s. Hindustan Engg. Works, Bahadurgarh and its workmen Shri Ram Chander and Panna Lal to this Court for adjudication in exercise of the

powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

Whether the termination of Shri Ram Chander was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

Whether the termination of services of Shri Panna Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the orders of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings. The workman did not appear on several dates. But lastly his representative Shri Onkar Parshad appeared. He did not file any letter of authority executed in his favour by the workman. The following issues were framed by my learned predecessor on 7th July, 1977:—

1. Whether the workman concerned absented himself from duty with effect from 4th October, 1974 and continued to do so till 10th October, 1974?
2. Whether the termination or services of the workman on account of his absence from duty from 4th October, 1974 to 10th October, 1974 is justified and in order? If not to what relief is he entitled?

The case was fixed for the evidence of the management on 18th April, 1978 reference No. 75 of 1976 relating to the dispute of Shri Panna Lal was also consolidated with this reference. It was further ordered that evidence recorded in reference No. 74 of 1976 relating to Ram Chander shall be read as evidence in reference No. 75 of 1976 relating to Shri Panna Lal. The management examined Shri Chander Paul Rana, their Manager

as MW-1 who stated that Shri Panna Lal joined their service as a helper on 25th May, 1973 but he absented himself from duty with effect from 4th October, 1974. He is marked absent from duty during the period from 4th October, 1974 to 12th October, 1974. He produced the attendance register which is on the file of this case. His name was not carried further with effect from 14th October, 1974. 13th October, 1974 was the Sunday. He is marked absent from 4th October, 1974 up to 12th October, 1974. The register contains in the column provided for remarks "Abandoned their service of own". Similarly is the case of both the workmen. He proved Ex. M-1 dated 7th October, 1970,—*vide* which the management has written to the workman Shri Panna Lal that Shri Panna Lal was absent without permission from the management from 4th October, 1974 and the management was suffering on that account. This letter asked the workman to attend his duty immediately. Letter further reads that in case the workman did not join duty up to 10th October, 1974, it shall be deemed that he did not like to resume his duty and has abandoned his service of his own and in that event his name shall be struck off the rolls. Similar letter was sent to Shri Ram Chander the workman which is also Ex. M-1. Both these letters were sent to both the workmen under a certificate of posting which bears the date of the postal seal as 7th October, 1974. It means that both these letters were sent to both these workmen. The certificate of posting is Ex. M-2. The management thereafter waited up to 12th October 1974 and the workman did not report for duty on 12th October, 1974. Then they sent another letter to both the workmen dated 12th October, 1974 that they did not attend their duties upto 10th October, 1974.—*vide* their letter dated 7th October, 1974 and even did not join their duties on 12th October, 1974 also, hence it has been deemed that they did not like to join their duties and hence their names have been struck off the rolls. This letter dated 12th October, 1974 is sent to both the workmen,—*vide* a certificate of posting. Ex. M-4, which bears the seal of the postal office and the seal of

the post office mentions the date as 12th October, 1974. It means that both these letters were sent to both these workmen on 12th October, 1974. MW-1 stated similar facts in respect of both the workmen named Sarvshri Ram Chand and Panna Lal. The register of attendance is Ex. M-6. He also tendered in evidence the attendance register of trainees which is Ex. M-7. In this register Ex. M-7, the name of these workmen do not find place, meaning that these workmen were not trainees. In cross examination he stated that the management did not receive any notice of demand directly from the workmen. I have seen the demand notice sent along with the order of reference. This is the joint demand notice by both these workmen but it does not bear their signatures. The management closed their case. Then the case was fixed for the evidence of the workman. Shri Panna Lal examined himself as WW-1 but Shri Ram Chander did not appear in witness box and did not examine himself even as his own witness. Shri Panna Lal stated that the management illegally and abruptly terminated his service on or about the month of October, 1973 without assigning any reason. He stated that he served a copy of demand notice Ex. W-1 on the management. Ex. W-4 is a letter from the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer to the Labour Commissioner. It is dated 8th April, 1975. The Conciliation Officer conciliated the dispute and the parties had their agreed that the management shall pay to the workman their retrenchment compensation, and that in case they needed in future the services of any of the workmen, they shall give them employment. Thereafter the representative for the management appeared there and asked for an adjournment so that the management might pay to the workman the said retrenchment compensation. The adjournment was granted but on the next date of hearing i.e. 17th March, 1975 the management did not turn up, nor paid retrenchment compensation to the workman. Had the management paid retrenchment compensation to these workman before the Conciliation Officer, the dispute could have ended there and the dispute

would not have been referred, but when the management did not pay the retrenchment compensation to these workman before the Conciliation Officer, this dispute arose. Now I have to find out whether the termination of services of these workmen was justified or not. I am not concerned with the payment of retrenchment compensation, as had been conciliated by the Conciliation Officer. To me it appears that formerly the management wrote letters to the workmen asking them to report for duty and then at that time the workman did not respond the letters of the management and did not join his duty but later on situation changed and the management got less orders or work and therefore, the management did not like to take back these workmen on duty and then it was decided there that the management should pay retrenchment compensation to which both the parties had agreed, as is evident from the conciliation proceedings which formed part of Ex. W-4 the letter from the Conciliation Officer to the Labour Commissioner. Next question for consideration is that the demand notice is dated 6th October, 1974, whereas the services of the workman were terminated on 4th October, 1974, it seems that the workman gave demand notice on 6th October, 1974 and thereafter when the management called them back on duty on 7th October, 1974, the workman did not join duty nor replied to the letter of the management. I think, the workman thought not to join duty after giving the demand notice and they intended to join duty after the decision of their reference, which they thought that their demand/dispute shall be referred to the Court. I do not appreciate this act of the workman. To me it appears justiceable on the part of the workman to join duty as soon as the management asks him to join duty, irrespective of the fact that the dispute has been referred to the Court and the reference is pending. Because if the workmen does not join duty after raising his demand and waits till the decision of his reference, even when the management had asked him to join duty, it shall be unnecessary financial burden on the management to pay the workman back wages

even if he is reinstated. But here another question has also cropped up that at the time of conciliation proceedings, the management agreed to pay them retrenchment compensation as they had at that time less amount of work to do in their factory and did not pay that. All these considerations weigh, while giving the award. All these facts have to be considered. Now I give my findings issuewise.

#### ISSUE NO. 1:

The facts prove that the workman absented from 4th October, 1974 to 6th October, 1974 but on 6th October, 1974 they raised the demand asking for joining duty. The management asked the workman on 7th October, 1974 to report for duty. The workman did not report for duty thereafter. In conciliation proceedings the management stated that they had got less amount of work in their factory and were not in a position to take these workmen on duty. It was agreed that retrenchment compensation be paid to the workmen but the management did not pay that. There are faults on the part of either of the parties. Had the management taken back on duty these workmen at the time of conciliation proceedings, the dispute would have settled. Had the management paid retrenchment compensation in conciliation proceedings, as agreed to by the parties, the matter would have ended, but the management did not do either of the things. I, therefore, hold that the workmen absented themselves for duty for a short period but that did not disentitle them to loose their lien on their jobs and that did not raise an inference that the workmen abandoned their service of their own, because the workmen raised their demand on 8th October, 1974 just after two days from the date they absented themselves from duty. I, decide this issue accordingly as far as Shri Panna Lal in reference No. 75 of 1976 is concerned. As far as Shri Ram Chander in reference No. 74 of 1976 is concerned, I do not hold likewise. Shri Panna Lal has appeared in the witness box to support his own case but Shri Ram Chander has not

appeared in the witness box and did not substantiate his case. As far as Shri Ram Chander is concerned, the statement of MW-1 goes un rebutted hence I decide issue No. 1 against Shri Ram Chander workman in reference No. 74 of 1976.

#### ISSUE NO. 2:

As far as Shri Ram Chander in reference No. 74 of 1976 is concerned even he himself did not appear in the witness box as his own witness to substantiate his claim. As far as Shri Panna Lal in reference No. 75 of 1976 is concerned, he appeared in the witness box to substantiate his case and in the matter of Shri Panna Lal the statement of MW-1 does not go un rebutted. As far as Shri Ram Chander in reference No. 74 of 1976 is concerned I hold that Shri Ram Chander absented himself from duty from 4th October, 1974 to 10th October, 1974 which raise an inference that he abandoned his job of his own in view of the fact also that Shri Ram Chander did not support his case, nor did appear as his own witness. As far as Shri Panna Lal in reference No. 75 of 1976 is concerned I hold that although he absented from duty for a very short period but that did not disentitle him to claim reinstatement and inference of abandonment of service of his own cannot rise against him. I therefore decide issue No. 2 against the management as far as Shri Panna Lal the workman in reference No. 75 of 1976 is concerned. Shri Panna Lal the workman in reference No. 75 of 1976 is entitled to reinstatement with continuity of service. As far as back wages are concerned Shri Panna Lal stated that he started running a shop of Betel leaves and Biri at Bahadurgarh but he stated

that he started running this shop only a month ago. Moreover the act of Shri Panna Lal not joining duty after when the management called him back on duty,—vide their letter of 7th October, 1974 goes much against him as far as full back wages are concerned. Running of shop of Betel leaves and Biri also goes against him as far as full back wages are concerned. In these circumstances, I think, that justice shall be done if a sum of Rs. 2,000 only is paid to Shri Panna Lal the workman concerned against back wages. While answering the reference No. 74 of 1976 I give my award that Shri Ram Chander absented himself from duty and abandoned his job of his own. The management did not terminate his services. Shri Ram Chander is not entitled to any relief. While answering the reference No. 75 of 1976 relating to Shri Panna Lal, I give my award that Shri Panna Lal is entitled to reinstatement with continuity of service and a sum of Rs. 2,000 only against his back wages.

The 6th December, 1978.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 3306. dated the 19th December, 1978.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

The 18th January, 1979

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/479.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Braeja Engineering Industries Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon:—

BEFORE SHRI NATHU RAM SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 97 of 1977

between

SHRI GOVIND LAL, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S BRAEJA  
ENGINEERING INDUSTRIES PVT. LTD., GURGAON

Present :—

Shri Govind Lal, workman in person.  
Shri Sudhir Chadha, for the management.

## AWARD

By order No. ID/GG/222-77/26275, dated 14th July, 1977, the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Braeja Engineering Industries Private., Limited, Gurgaon, and its workman Shri Govind Lal, to this Tribunal for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Govind Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the order of reference notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties the following issues were framed on 21st December, 1977:—

1. Whether the workman remained absent for more than 8 days and therefore, his employment came to an end automatically?
2. If issue No. 1 is proved, the dispute is not an Industrial Dispute?
3. If, both the above issues are not proved, in favour of the management, whether the termination of services of Shri Govind Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

And the case was fixed for the evidence of the management. The management examined Shri B. K. Mehta their Works Manager as M. W. 1 and closed their case. Then the case was fixed for the evidence of the workman but on the date fixed the representative for the workman prayed for an adjournment which was granted. Thereafter negotiations for settlement started. Several adjournments were granted for settlement and last of all the settlement was arrived at. According to which the management agreed to pay to the workman six month's wages besides his earned wages, if any due, wages in lieu of service, if any due, as well as bonus, if any due, in full and final settlement of all his rights, claims and disputes and the workman shall not be entitled to reinstatement or future employment in the concern in any manner whatsoever. The parties prayed that award be given in terms of the settlement. This settlement is just and fair. I, therefore, give my award in terms of the settlement as follows:—

That the management shall pay to the workman six month's wages besides his earned wages, if due, wages in lieu of leave, if due, as well as bonus, if due, and thereupon the dispute shall be deemed fully and finally settled and the workman shall not be entitled to reinstatement or re-employment.

Dated the 28th December, 1978.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 8, dated the 9th January, 1979

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/480.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Business Forms Ltd., Plot No. 72, Sector, 6, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI NATHU RAM SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 93 of 1978

between

WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S BUSINESS FORMS LIMITED,  
PLOT NO. 72, SECTOR-6, FARIDABAD

Present.—

Nemo, for the workman.

Shri Rajinder Dhawan, for the management.

## AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/6-78/17547-50, dated 5th May, 1978 the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Business forms Limited Plot No. 72, Sector-6, Faridabad and its workman, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

“Whether the closure of the factory is justified and in order? if not, to what relief the workers are entitled to?

On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed on 1st July, 1978 :—

1. Whether the reference is bad on the ground that the factory has been closed and that the establishment is no more in existence? if so to what effect ?
2. Whether the legal person of the management has ceased to exist, if so to what effect ?
3. Whether the workman raised the demand on the management? if not, to what effect ?
4. Whether the Industrial workers union has locus-standie to raise the dispute ?
5. Whether the demand has been espoused by a substantial number of workmen ?
6. Whether the reference has not been made by appropriate Government ?
7. Whether the closure of the factory is justified and in order? If not, to what relief the workers are entitled to ?

And the case was fixed for the evidence of parties. Thereafter the representative for the management moved an application for deciding issues No. 1 and 6 as preliminary. Copy whereof was given to the representative for the workmen. The workmen has also filed an application copy whereof was given to the representative for the management and the case was fixed for reply of the applications. Thereafter none appeared for the workmen. Then on the last date of hearing, the management filed a settlement marked 'X' and for giving “no dispute” award. He further stated that out of 22 workmen, 21 workmen have received their full and final settlement and have left for good and whenever one workman shall turn up he shall also be paid as per the settlement. The reference is whether the closure of the factory is justified and in order, which implies that the factory is closed. According to the settlement the workmen agreed that the closure was justified and the workmen also agreed that they shall file an application before the Industrial Tribunal Haryana that there is no dispute between the parties and the workman shall not entitled to any further amount except the amount mentioned in para No. 1 of the settlement. The settlement purports to have been signed by 15 or 16 workmen, which looks genuine. As the representative for the workmen did not appear. I, therefore, give my award that the closure of the factory was justified and in order and the workmen are not entitled to any relief. They have received their dues and claims.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,

Dated the 28th December, 1978.

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 9, dated the 9th January, 1979

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 11(112) 3Lab-79/482.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Gee Kay Engineering Works, N.I.T., Faridabad :—

BEFORE SHRI NATHU RAM SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL  
TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 420 of 1978

*between*

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S GEE KAY ENGINEERING  
WORKS, N.I.T., FARIDABAD

*Present :*

Shri Onkar Parshad, for the workmen.

Nemo for the management.

**AWARD**

By order No. ID/FD/75-78/41697, dated 14th September, 1978, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Gee Kay Engineering Works, N.I.T., Faridabad, and its workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of dearness allowance ?

Whether the workmen should be supplied with uniforms ? If so, with what details ?

Whether the workmen should be given soap cake or washing allowance in lieu thereof ? If so, with what details ?

Whether the workmen should be given attendance cards ? If so, with what details ?

On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared. The case was fixed for filing written statement by the management. On the date fixed the representative for the workmen stated that he had no instructions from the workmen and the workmen are not taking interest in pursuing their demands. In these circumstances it is a case for dismissal in default, which is dismissed in default. My award is that no dispute exists between the parties now.

The 28th December, 1978.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 11, dated the 9th January, 1979.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/483.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Anand Spinning and Weaving Mills (P) Ltd., Mehroli Road, Gurgaon.

BEFORE SHRI NATHU RAM SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL  
TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 20 of 1978

*between*

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. ANAND SPINNING AND  
WEAVING MILLS (P) LTD., MEHROLI ROAD, GURGAON

*Present :*

Shri Shardha Nand, for the workmen.

Shri M. P. Gupta, for the management.



## AWARD

By order No. ID/GG/635-77/2883, dated 24th January, 1978, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Anand Spinning and Weaving Mills (P) Ltd., Mehroli Road, Gurgaon and its workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

1. Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of bonus for the year 1976-77 ? If so, with what details ?
2. Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of dearness allowances ? If so, with what details ?
3. Whether the workmen should be supplied uniforms ? If so, with what details ?
4. Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of house rent ? If so, with what details ?

On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared, and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties the following issues were framed on 8th June, 1978 :—

1. Whether the demands are espoused by a substantial number of workmen ?
2. Whether the Bonus Act did not applicable to this factory as alleged in para No. 4 of the preliminary objection ?
3. Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of bonus for the year 1976-77 ? If so, with what details ?
4. Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of dearness allowance ? If so, with what details ?
5. Whether the workmen should be supplied uniforms ? If so, with what details ?
6. Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of house rent ? If so, with what details ?

And the case was fixed for the evidence of the workmen. Thereafter the parties prayed for time to reach a settlement. It was at this stage that the representative for the workmen stated that the workmen had left the factory and he was not in a position to lead any evidence but prayed for adjournment if he could possibly lead evidence. The case was then adjourned. On that date also, he did not bring any evidence and stated that no workmen has contacted him. He again prayed for an adjournment which was granted. On the last date of hearing, the representative for the workmen gave a statement that he had no instructions from the workmen to proceed with the case and he did not want to proceed with the case and prayed that the case may be dismissed as withdrawn. I, therefore, dismiss the case as withdrawn. As a result whereof the workmen are not entitled to any demand raised by them in this reference. I, therefore, give my award that the workmen are not entitled to any demand raised in this reference.

The 28th December, 1978.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 12, dated the 9th January, 1979.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 11(112)3 Lab-79/496.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Atlas Cycle Industries, Sonapat.

BEFORE SHRI NATHU RAM SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 93 of 1978

between

SHRI OM PARKASH, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. ATLAS CYCLE  
INDUSTRIES, SONEPAT

### AWARD

By order No. ID/RTK/60-78/25854, dated 14th June, 1978 the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Atlas Cycle Industries, Sonepat and its workman Shri Om Parkash, to this Court for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Om Parkash was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled.

On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The workman also appeared through one Shri D. P. Pathak on 4th October, 1978 and thereafter the workman did not appear hence he was proceeded *ex-parte* and case was fixed for *ex-parte* evidence of the management. The management examined Shri Surinder Nath Jaggi their steno in their Labour Office as MW-1 who brought original resignation of the workman acceptance of the resignation by the management containing an order to pay the workman his dues in full and final settlement and the copy of the certificate that the management has granted to the workman that his work was satisfactory. The management placed the copies of the abovestated document which are Ex. M-1, M-2 and M-3. The original were returned to them.

I am satisfied that the workman resigned of his own. His resignation was accepted and the acceptance was communicated to him. The management ordered to pay workman his full and final and granted a certificate of his satisfactory work. While answering the reference, I give my award that the workman resigned his job of his own and the management never terminated his services. The workman is not entitled to any relief.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,

Date 15th December, 1978.

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 77, dated 9th January, 1979.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

M. KUTTAPPAN Secy.

### AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

The 25th January, 1979

No. 323-Agri. II(3)-79/3014.—In exercise of the powers conferred under sub-section (3) of section 31 of the Warehousing Corporation Act, 1962, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to appoint Messrs Bhasin Raghavan and Co., Chartered Accountants, Chandigarh as Auditors of Haryana Warehousing Corporation, Chandigarh, for the year 1978-79 at a total remuneration of Rs 8,000 (Rupees eight thousand) only inclusive of all allowances viz., travelling allowances etc. and other expenses.

L. D. KATARIA,  
Commissioner & Secy.

(MARKET COMMITTEE)

The 24th January, 1979

No. 10334-Agri. II(5)-78/2077A.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 7 of the Punjab Agricultural Produce Markets Act, 1961, and all other powers enabling in

him in this behalf, the Governor of Haryana hereby declares the localities specified in column 1 of the schedule given below to be the Sub-Market Yard of the corresponding Notified Market Area specified in column 2 thereof :—

### SCHEDULE

Locality of the Sub-Market Yard	Notified Market Area
1	2
Village Chhichrana with boundary limits as under :—	Madlauda
<i>East.</i> —Land of Gram Panchayat.	
<i>West.</i> —Gangeswar Minor.	
<i>North.</i> —Land of Gram Panchayat.	
<i>South.</i> —Boundary of village Kurana.	

L. D. KATARIA, Secy.

### IRRIGATION AND POWER DEPARTMENT

The 22nd January, 1979

**No. 1148/2L.**—Whereas the land described in the Haryana Government notification No. 17434/2-L, dated 28th December, 1978 issued under section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, has been declared to be needed at the expense of the Haryana State for a public purpose namely, for the construction of Bisalwas Minor from R.D. 0 to tail R.D. 10,000 taking off at R.D. 51035 Right Damkora Distributary villages Phartia Khera, Gagerwas and Bisalwas in tehsil Loharu, district Bhiwani.

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, the Governor of Haryana hereby directs the Land Acquisition Collector, Irrigation Department, Rohtak to take order for the acquisition of the land described in the specifications appended to the declaration published with the aforesaid notification.

**No. 1162/2L.**—Whereas the land described in the Haryana Government notification No. 17420/2L, dated 28th December, 1978, issued under section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, has been declared to be needed at the expense of the Haryana State for a public purpose, namely, for the construction of Pandit Jawahar Lal Nehru Feeder Opposite R. D. 296373 to R. D. 296756 in village Khaperwas in tehsil Jhajjar, district Rohtak.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, the Governor of Haryana hereby directs the Land Acquisition Collector, Irrigation Department, Rohtak to take order for the acquisition of the land described in the specifications appended to the declaration published with the aforesaid notification.

**No. 1169/2L.**—Whereas the land described in the Haryana Government notification No. 17413/2-L, dated 28th December, 1978 issued under section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, has been declared to be needed at the expense of the Haryana State for a public purpose, namely, for the construction of Extension of Pichopa Minor from R.D. 13600 to tail R.D. 17000 in village Pichopa Khurd in tehsil Dadri, district Bhiwani.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, the Governor of Haryana hereby directs the Land Acquisition Collector, Irrigation Department, Rohtak, to take order for the acquisition of the land described in the specifications appended to the declaration published with the aforesaid notification.

**No. 1176/2L.**—Whereas the land described in the Haryana Government notification No. 17427/2-L, dated 28th December, 1978, issued under section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, has been declared to be needed at the expense of the Haryana State for a public purpose, namely, for the construction of Sorra Minor from R.D. 0 to tail R.D. 6000 taking off at R.D. 158800 L Sorra Distributary in village Patwan in tehsil Loharu, District, Bhiwani.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, the Governor of Haryana hereby directs the Land Acquisition Collector Irrigation Department, Rohtak, to take order for the acquisition of the land described in the specification appended to the declaration published with the aforesaid notification.

(Sd.) . . . . ,

Superintending Engineer,  
Loharu Canal Circle,  
Rohtak.

**PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT**  
**BUILDINGS AND ROADS BRANCH**

Circle Ambala

The 6th January, 1979

No. SE/PWD/B&R/Ambala/562.—Whereas, the Governor of Haryana is pleased that Land specified below is needed by the Govt. at public expense for public purpose, namely, construction of road from Kaith Kalanaur road to village Mandi, tehsil Jagadhri, district Ambala, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provision of section, 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern under the provision of Section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt. hereby directed to take orders for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana; P. W. D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt. and the Executive Engineer, Provincial Division, Jagadhri.

**SPECIFICATION**

District	Tehsil	Locality/ Village	Hadbast No.	Area in acres	Rectangle/Killa No.
Ambala	Jagadhri	Mandi	132	1.35	7 — 5 — 2  8  1, 2/1, 2/2, 3/1, 3/2, 4/1, 4/2, 5/1, 5/2  9 — 1  27, 28, 30, 31, 38, 123

No. SE/PWD/B&R/Ambala/563.—Whereas, the Governor of Haryana is pleased that land specified below is needed by the Government, at public expenses, for public purpose, namely, construction of road from Kaith Kalanaur road to village Kaith, tehsil Jagadhri, district Ambala, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern under the provision of Section 7 of the said act, the Land Acquisition Collector, Public Work Department, Buildings and Roads, Branch, Ambala Cantt. hereby directs to take orders for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the officers of the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, Public Works Department, Buildings and Roads Branch, Ambala Cantt. and the Executive Engineer, Provl. Division, Jagadhri.

## SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality/ Village	Hadbast No.	Acres in acres	Rectangle/Killa Nos.
Ambala	Jagadhri	Kat	132	1.51	15
					5, 6, 7, 14/1, 14/2, 15
					15
					17/1, 17/2, 23, 24/1, 24/2
					22
					3/1, 3/2, 8/1, 8/2, 8/3,
					22
					45, 68, 75, 78, 79, 80, 189

(Sd.) . . .

Superintending Engineer,  
Ambala Circle, P.W.D., B&R.,  
Ambala Cantt.

The 17th January, 1979

No. S. E. . Rohtak Circle/PWD/B & R/Br.(28-RA/4/546.—Whereas it appears the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government, at the public expense, for public purpose, namely, "constructing of new bridge and approaches thereof on Jhajjar-Rewari road KM 41, 42 in district Rohtak it is hereby notified that land in the locality described below is likely to be required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana, is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within thirty days of the publication of this notification, file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, Public Works Department, Buildings and Roads to Branch, Ambala Cantt.

District	Tehsil	Locality Village	Hadbast No.	Area in Acres	Khasra No.
				K. M.	
Rohtak	Jhajjar	Silani Pana Kesso		34—3	28
					16
					5, 6, —, 25, 15
					1

District	Tehsil	Locality, Village	Hadbast No.	Area in Acres	Khasra No.
Rohtak— <i>concl'd</i>	Jhajjar— <i>concl'd</i>	Silani Pana Kesso— <i>concl'd</i>		(4.27)	29 20 20 21 101—1, $\frac{2}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{1}$ , $\frac{1}{1}$
No religions place or Educational Building falls in this area					54 11 11 20 20 20 $\frac{1}{1}$ , 10, $\frac{1}{1}$ , $\frac{2}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{1}$ , $\frac{2}{2}$ , $\frac{3}{3}$ 21 1
					55 16 16 5, 6, 15, $\frac{1}{1}$ , $\frac{2}{2}$ , 25
					65 5, 6, 15
					6 118. 173, 406, 407, 415, 419,
					East West North South 448 400 14 $\frac{1}{2}$ 14 $\frac{1}{2}$ 424 $\times$ 14 $\frac{1}{2}$ K. M. 34—3 (4.27)

(Sd.) . . .

Superintending Engineer,  
Rohtak Circle, P. W. D., B. & R. Br.

The 19th December, 1978

No. 28/HA/63-H/913.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, constructing a road Narnaund to Petwar in Hissar District, it is hereby notified that the land in the locality described below is likely to be acquired for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provision of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid and section the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other Acts acquired or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within 30 days of the publication of this notification file an objection in writing before the Land acquisition Collector, Haryana P.W.D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt.

## SPECIFICATIONS

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Remarks
1. Hissar	Hansi	Narnaund	R.D. 0 to 21.30	186 271
			$\frac{2050 \times 40}{9 \times 4840} = 1.88 \text{ acres}$	
			R.D. 0 to 170	2 2 3 3 2 2 18
			4920 to 5520	1 2 1 2 1 2 9, 12, 1
			Half side left only)	271 288
			7460 to 7900	18 19 19 25 25
			7900 to 9040	2 1 2 22, 23 16, 1 2
			1356 to 1436-80	289 295
			1436 to 1643-207 = 2046	20, 21 1, 10, 11, 20
			372	296
				5, 6, 15, 16
				125, 126, 184, 581, 582
		Aurang Shahpur	R.D. = 6.42	58
			170' to 4920'	
			4920' to 5520'	
			(Half side right only)	8, 9, 12, 13, 18, 19, 22, 23
			5520' to 7460'	64
			(Right side, i.e. due to one side)	9, 9 22 22
				2, 3, 8, 1 2 12, 13, 18, 19, 1 2 23
			1271	71
				2, 3, 8, 9, 12, 13, 18, 19, 22, 23
				84
				3 3 13 13
				2 1 2 8, 9, 12, 1 2 18, 19, 22, 23
				89
				8 8
				2, 3, 2 2 9, 12, 13, 18, 19, 22, 23
				1 2
				98
				21 21 24
				1 2 22, 23, 2 25
				99 100
				3, 8, 13, 24, 25 4, 5
				101 102
				1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 15 1, 10, 11
				125, 126, 184, 129

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Remarks
9040' to 17875'	Petwar	0. R.D. 1548		
	92	$40 \times 8835$ $\frac{4840 \times 9}{1548} = 8.11$ acres		
			37	38 44
			$\frac{21}{1}, \frac{21}{2}, 20$	$\frac{25}{5}, 6, 15, 16, 25$
				$\frac{2}{1}, \frac{1}{2}$
			45	
			$\frac{11}{1}, \frac{11}{2}, 20, 21$	
			70	
			$\frac{1}{1}, 10, 11, 20, 21$	
			71	82
			$\frac{5}{5}, 6, 15, 16, 25$	$\frac{5}{5}, 6, 15, 16, 25$
			110	
			$\frac{1}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{9}{1}, \frac{9}{2}, 10, 12, \frac{13}{1}, \frac{13}{2}, 14, 16, \frac{17}{1}$	
			110	128
			$\frac{17}{2}, \frac{24}{1}, \frac{25}{1}, \frac{25}{2}, 5, 6, 15, 16, 25$	
			129	
			$\frac{10}{1}, \frac{10}{2}, 11, \frac{20}{1}, \frac{20}{2}, 21$	
			154	
			$\frac{20}{1}, \frac{20}{2}, 21$	
			175	203
			$\frac{11}{1}, \frac{20}{6}, \frac{21}{1}, \frac{1}{1}, \frac{10}{6}, \frac{1}{1}$	
			204	
			$\frac{16}{1}, \frac{16}{2}, \frac{25}{1}, \frac{25}{2}, \frac{25}{3}, 26$	
			403	155
			$\frac{6}{1}, \frac{6}{2}, \frac{15}{1}, \frac{15}{2}, \frac{15}{3}, 16$	



District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Remarks
9040 to 17875	Petwar	O. R. D. 1548		83
	92	40 × 8835		10
		----- = 8.11		1, 11, 20, 21
		4840 × 9 acres		2
		1548—8514'		416, 418, 456, 537, 538, 539, 540, 546, 695
Total			17550, 16.41 Acres	

(Sd.) . . . ,

Superintending Engineer,  
Hissar Circle.

## JIND CIRCLE

The 10th January, 1979

No. 157.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that the land likely to be required to be taken by the Government, at the public expenses, for a public purpose, namely construction of Alewa Rajound Road in Tehsil and District Jind.

This notification is made under the provisions of section IV of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land, in the locality may within thirty days of the publication of this notification file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, Public Works Department, Buildings and Roads Branch, Ambala Cantt.

## SPECIFICATION

Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Area in acres	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5
Jind	Jind	Alewa	4.76	5
				1236
				15/1, 15/2, 16/1, 16/2, 25/1, 25/2
				6
				10, 11/1, 20, 21,
				14
				5/1, 5/2, 6, 7, 14, 1289, 15, 16, 17,
				14 24
				24/1, 24/2, 3, 4/1, 4/2, 7, 8, 13, 14,
				24 35
				18/1, 18/2, 23/1, 23/2, 2, 3, 1399,

Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Area in Acres	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5
Jind	Jind	Alewa— <i>concl'd</i>	4.76 <i>concl'd</i>	35 8, 9, 1300, 12, 13, 19/1, 19/2, 21, 22, 50 51 25 1, 1326, 2, 9, 10, 11/1, 11/2, 20/1, 51 68 20/2, 21/1, 21/2 5, 6, 15, 16, 25, 85 86 7/1, 15 20, 21, Rasta 11, 103 123 1, 10 11/1, 11/2, 20, 21, 140 2/1, 21/2, 360, 630 167 1/1, 1/2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20, 22/1, 167 184 22/2, 1368, 1386 2, 3, 8/1, 8/2, 1383, 184 9, 12, 13, 13/2, 18/1, 18/2, 23, 24 211 3, 397, 4, 7/1, 7/2, 14/1 Main Rasta. 140 1, 10/1, 10/2, 11/1, 11/2, 1320, 20, 376, 140 368/2 Plots.—903 to 905, Rasta, 903 to 922, Rasta, 923 to 957, 422, 447, 998, 606/2, 997, 1011 to 1024 to 1031, 1035, to 1037, 1040, 1043, 1044, 1047, 1057, 1004/1, 1010, 1083, 1084, 1093, 1094, 1105, 1106, 1109, 1110, 1112, 1114, 1115, 1122, to 1125, 1205, to 1207, 1212, 121 to 1217, 1228, 1229, 1237, 1238, 1239, 1242, 1243, 1249, 1252, 1253, 1259, 1261, 1262, 1268, to 1271, Rasta 1281, to 1284, 1288, 1292, 1293, 1305, 1306, 1607.

Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Area in acres	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5
Jind	Jind	Katwal	2.90	<p>17 Khal— 18, 19, 21, 22/1, 22/2</p> <p>20 — 1/1, 1/2, 2, 10/1, 10/2, 11</p> <p>21 Khal— 6, 15/1, 15/2, 16/1, 16/2, 17, 24/1, 24/2, —</p> <p>21                      35 —                      — 25, 247, 248                      3, 4, 8/1, 8/2, 9, 12,</p> <p>35 — 13, 19/1, 19/2, 20, 21, 22</p> <p>40 — 1/1, 1/2, 10/2, 246</p> <p>41 — 5, 6/1, 6/2, 14/1 14/2, 15, 16, 17/1,</p> <p>41 — 17/2, 23, 24/1 24/2</p> <p>54 — 3/1, 3/2, 4, 8, 9, 12, 26, 96, 120, 121</p> <p>61 — 11/2, 20/1, 20/2, 21/1, 21/2, 134, 135, 7</p> <p>61                      73                      74 —                      —                      — 12, 13                      15, 16, 25, 139                      1/1, 1/2,</p> <p>74 — 10/1, 10/2, 11/1, 11/2, 20/1, 20/2, 21</p> <p>81                      82 —                      — 141, 1, 10, 270                      5, 6/1, 6/2, 268, 94, 95,</p> <p>82 — 122</p> <p>Plots—.163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170</p>

Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Area in acres	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5
Jind	Jind	Durana	5.46	18
				470, 476/1, 476/2, 6, 7, 14/1, 14/2, 17/1'
				18
				, Khal
				17/2, 23, 24/1, 24/2
				25
				3, 221, 4, 471, 7, 8, 475, 13, 14, 17 18,
				25 40
				474, 23, 24 3, 488, 4, 7/1, 7/2, 8, 13, 14/1
				40 47
				14/2, 17, 18, 23, 24 3, 4, 7, 8, 226,
				47
				13/1, 403, 13/2, 14, 17, 223, 18, 23, 24
				63
				3, 4, 7, 8, 13, 14, 17, 18, Rasta, 23, 24
				72
				3, 4, 26, 7/2, Rasta, 133, 14, 17, 24/1,
				72 81
				24/2, 149, 25 4/1, 4/2, 4/3, 5, 7, Rasta
				81
				493, 8, 461, Rasta 450, 441/1, 441/2,
				81 80
				445, 12, 13, 19, 20, 21, 25 25
				Plots.—462, 460, 455, 456, 435, 436,
				422/3, 422/4, 422/4, 422/5, Rasta
				98
				7, 8, 9, 420/1, 420
				Plots.—414 to 419 Rasta, 10/1, 10/2, 11
				99
				6, 15/1, 15/2, 16, 17, 22, 23
				111 112
				16/1, 16/2, 24, 25 2, 3/1, 3/2, 8,
				112
				9/1, 9/2, 10, 11/1, 11/2, 12, 20

Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Area in acres	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5
Jind	Jind	Durana—concl'd	5.46—concl'd	119 3, 4, 523, 8/1, 8/2, 9, 249, 12/1, 12/2, 13, 119 19/1, 19/2, 17, 20/1, 20/2, 529, 21/1, 21/2 120 124 25/1, 25/6, 25/2, 4, 5, 6, 7/1, 7/2, 8/1, 124 Main Rasta, 8/2, 12, 13/1, 13/2, 18, 146 8
Jind	Jind	Bangra	1.29	11 19/1, 19/2, 22/1, 22/2, 139, 140 11 2/1, 2/2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20, 21, 22, 11 38 141, 322, 1, 10 32 1/1, 1/2, 10/1, 10/2, 11/1, 11/2, 20/1, 32 33 20/2, 21, 16, 25, 154 37 5/1, 5/2, 6/1, 6/2, 15/1, 15/2, 16/1, 16/2, 37 57 25/1, 25/2, 155, 4, 5, 91, Main Rasta, 217 23/1, 1341, 23/6, 24 236 3, 4, 7, 8, 13, 14, 18/1, 18/2, 22/1, 22/2, 236 265 23/3, 23, 2, 3, 8, 9, 12/1, 12/2, 19/1, 265 284 19/2, 21, 22, 1, 2, 9, 10, 11/1, 11/2, 20/1, 284 311 20/2, 21/1, 21/2, 913, 1
Jind	Jind	Rajaund	4.94	

Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Area in acres	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5
Jind	Jind	Rajaund	4.94 Acres	<div>312</div> <div>5/1, 5/2, 6/1, 6/2, 15/1, 15/2, 16/1, 16/2.</div> <div>312</div> <div>24, 25</div> <div>329</div> <div>Khal, 4, 5, 6, 7, 14/1, 14/2, 17/1/1</div> <div>17/2. Rajbaha, 18, 23, 24,</div> <div>943, 944</div> <div>358</div> <div>3, 4, 7, 8, 13/1, 13/2, 18/1, 13/2, 23/1,</div> <div>358</div> <div>23/2, Khal</div> <div>375</div> <div>2, 3, 8, 9, 12, 13, 19/1, 19/2</div> <div>Plots.—460, 465, 466, 497, 523, 525, 785 to 794, 913, 1216, 1219, 1220, 1222, 1224, to 1226, 1227, 1234, 1241, to 1247, 1263, to 1268, 1273 to 1278, 1272, 1312 to 1319, 1718, 1322.</div>
Grand Total			19.35	

No. 158.—whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that the land specified below is needed by Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, Hatt Sarfabad Road District Jind. It is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose.

The declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provision of Section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, Public Works Department, Buildings and Road Branch, Haryana, Ambala Cantt. is hereby directed to take orders for the acquisition of the said land.

Plan of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Land Acquisition, Collector, Haryana public works Department, Buildings and Roads Branch, Ambala Cantt. and the executive Engineer, provincial Division, public works Department, Buildings and Roads Branch, Jind.

## SPECIFICATION

Serial No.	District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Rectangle/Killa No.
1	Jind	Safidon	Hatt	0.47	140 10, 11/1, 11/2, 12, 19, 20, 21/1, 21/2, 21/3 155 1/1, 1/2, 1/3, 10/1, 10/2, 11, 20, 21 156 6, 15, 16, 25, 1062, 1063, 1064, 1065
2	Do	Do	Harigarh	2.11	5, 6, 11, 12 25, 21, 1, 10, 5, 6/1, 6/2, 12, 21 15, 16, 24, 25, 4, 5, 6, 7/1, 7/2, 21 14, 15, 17/1, 17/2, 18, 20/1, 20/2, 23, 24 36, 43, 44 5, 6, 15, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 44 19/1, 19/2, 20, 21/1, 21/2, 22 55 1/1, 1/2, 2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20, 21, 22/1, 22/2 64 1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20 72, 73, 78, 96, 100, 102, 114, 116, 117, 118, 204, 205, 209, 209/1, 210, 211, 2, 219, 237, 237/1, 237/2, 238, 239, 240, 241, 231, 231/1, 232, 315, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 336, 337, 341, 342, 345, 346, 348/1, 367/1, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 452, 454, 461.

Serial No.	District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Rectangle/Killa No.
3	Jind	Safidan	Bagru Kalan.	0.85	<div>6</div> <div>19, 20, 21/1, 21/2, 21/3</div> <div>7</div> <div>1/1, 1/2, 10</div> <div>8</div> <div>5/1, 5/2, 6/1, 6/2,</div> <div>8</div> <div>14, 15, 16, 17/1, 17/2, 23, 24</div> <div>25</div> <div>3, 4, 8/1, 8/2, 12, 13, 17, 25</div> <div>414, 415, 416</div>
4	Do	Do	Bagru Khurdh	1.26	<div>7</div> <div>18, 24/1, 24/2</div> <div>14</div> <div>4, 5, 6, 7, 14, 15/1</div> <div>15/2, 17/1, 24/1, 24/2</div> <div>17</div> <div>4/1, 4/2, 7, 8, 13, 14, 16, 17, 25/1, 26</div> <div>21</div> <div>21/1, 21/2, 22/1, 22/2</div> <div>22</div> <div>6/1, 6/2, 15,</div> <div>37</div> <div>16, 25,</div> <div>2/1, 2/2, 3/1, 3/2, 4, 5, 6/1, 6/2, 7, 8</div> <div>85, 89, 90, 94, 95, 188, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201/1, 201/2, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 328/1, 329, 384/1, 384/2, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 389/3, 390, 391</div>
5	Do	Do	Anchera Khurd	0.64	<div>63</div> <div>6, 15</div> <div>64</div> <div>10, 11, 12, 18, 19, 23,</div> <div>81</div> <div>24/1, 24/2</div> <div>9, 10/1, 10/2, 11, 12/1,</div> <div>81</div> <div>12/2, 13, 18, 19</div> <div>82</div> <div>4, 5, 6</div>



Serial No.	District	Locality	Area in acres	Rectangle/Killa No.
6	Jind	Safidon	0.79	7
				21/1, 21/2, 22/1, 22/2, 23/1, 23/2, 24
				8 11
				16, 17, 18, 24, 25, 26 3, 4/1,
				11 12
				4/2, 5/1/1, 5/1/2, 5/2 1, 2/1, 2/2, 7/1, 7/2, 8/1, 8/2, 9, 10
				60, 147, 148, 149, 150, 152
	Total..	6-12 Acres		

(Sd.) . . .

Sub-Divisional Engineer,  
Provincial Sub Division,  
Jind.

## IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT

The 20th January, 1979

No. 713/3-L.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that the land specified below is needed urgently by the Government at public expense for a public purpose namely for Additional Land Proposed to be Acquired for Constructing Pandit Jawahar Lal Nehru Feeder from R.D. 112000 to R. D. 128919 and Bohar Distributary from R.D.O. to R.D. 4919 in villages Dhamar, Kiloj Dopana, Kiloj Khas and Ladhaud, tehsil Rohtak, district Rohtak, for which a notification has been issued under Section 4 and Sub-Section (4) of Section 17 read with clause (c) of Sub-Section (2) of Section 17 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, and published,—vide Haryana Government Notification No. 8137, Dated the 22nd August, 1978, in the *Haryana Government Gazette, Part-I*, It is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required urgently for the above purpose.

The declaration is made under the provisions of Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, for information of all to whom it may concern.

The plans of the land may be inspected in the office of the Land Acquisition Collector, P.W.D. (Irrigation Branch), Rohtak and the Executive Engineer, Jawahar Lal Nehru Feeder Division No. III, Rohtak.

## SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Village	Area in		Hadbast Number	Boundary			
			Acres	Hectares					
A strip of land measuring 16919 feet in length of varying width passing through full/part of Killa numbers:—									
						Area in			
						Rectangle No.	Khasra No.	Kanals	Marla
Rohtak	Rohtak	Dhamar	3.24	1.311	62	122	14	2	3
							15	0	3
							24	2	5
							17	2	5

District	Tehsil	Village	Area in Acres	Area in Hectares	Hadbast Number	Boundary			
						Rectangle No.	Khasra No.	Area in	
								Kanals	Marlas
Rohtak	Rohtak	Dhamar	3.24	1,381	62	126	4/1, 4/2	1	16
						Rasta No.	164	0	9
							7	2	5
							14	2	5
						126	17	2	5
							24	2	5
						138	4	2	5
							7	2	5
							8	0	3
							14	1	14
							13	0	8
							17	0	12
							18	0	10
						Total		25	18
						OR	3.24 Acres		
						OR	1.311 Hectares		
Do	Do	Kiloi Dopana	4.47	1.761	61	61	17	0	6
							18	0	14
							23	2	0
						63	3	2	0
							8	2	0
							13	2	0
							18	2	0
							23	2	0
						80	3/1, 3/2	2	2
						Rasta No.	237	0	3
							8	2	4
							13	2	4
							18	1	18
							19	0	1
							23	1	10
						80	22	0	10
						82	3	1	4
							2	0	16
							8	0	17
							9	1	2
							13	4	12

District	Tehsil	Village	Area in Acres	Area in Hectares	Hadbast Number	Boundary			
Rohtak	Rohtak	Kiloi Dopana	4.47	1,761	61	Rectangle No.	Khasra No.	Area in	
								Kanal	Marlas
							12	1	8
							18	0	1
							19	1	16
							22	1	12
						Rasta No.	245	0	8
						98	2	0	18
						Rasta No.	245	0	8
							9	0	1
						Rasta No.	245	0	11
						Total		35	6
						Or	4.37 Acres		
						Or	1,768 Hactares		
Rotak	Rohtak	Kiloi Khas	14,05	5,686	60	88	21	0	18
						91	1/1, 1/2	2	5
							10	2	5
							11/1, 11/2	2	5
							20/1, 20/2	2	5
							21/1, 21/2	2	5
						199	1/1, 1/2	2	5
							10/1, 10/2	2	5
							11/1, 11/2	2	5
							20/1, 20/2	2	1
						Rasta No.	249	0	4
							21/1, 21/2	2	5
						123	1/1, 1/2	2	5
							10/1, 10/2	2	5
							11/1, 11/2	2	5
							20/1, 20/2	2	5
						149	1/1, 1/2	2	6
							2/2	0	3
							10	0	8
							9/1, 9/2	1	1
							12	2	8
							19	1	1
							18/1, 18/2	2	8
							23/1, 23/2	2	9
						153	3	1	3

District	Tehsil	Village	Area in Acres	Area in Hectares	Hadbast Number	Boundary			
Rohtak	Rohtak	Kiloi Khas	14.05	5.686	60	Rectangle No.	Khasra No.	Area in	
								Kanals	Marlas
						153	0	0	4
							7/1, 7/2	1	19
						Basta No.	536	0	4
							8	5	5
						Rasta No.	536	0	5
						153	13/1, 13/2	3	2
							14/1, 14/2	4	5
						Rasta No.	536	0	8
							17/1,, 17/2	4	2
							18	4	3
							23	5	14
							24/1, 24/2	2	9
						177	4	0	15
							3/1,, 3/2	5	18
							8	1	8
							22	0	7
							21/1, 21/2	1	18
						179	1	1	17
						178	5	6	9
							4	2	0
							6	2	1
							7	6	18
							13	0	13
							8	1	6
							14	0	4
Total								112	9
OR								14.05 Acres	
OR								5.86 Hactares	
Do	Do	Ladhaud	18.17	7.353	65	36	2	1	2
							9	1	17
							12	2	1.
							19	0	5
							22	2	5
						37	2	2	5
							9	2	1
							10/2, 10/3	0	2

[illegible]

District	Tehsil	Village	Area in Acres	Area in Hectares	Field Number	Boundary	Area in	
							Kanal	Marlas
Rohtak	Rohtak	Ladhaud	18.17	7,353	65	Rectangle No.		
						93	3	5
							2	13
							1/1, 1/2	4
						10	0	7
					94	5	6	4
						6	4	0
						4	2	0
						7	2	6
Total							: 145	7
OR							: 18.17	Acres
OR							: 7,353	Hactares
Total			39.83 Acres	16.118 Hactares				

Generally lying in the direction from north to south, North-West to South-East and North-East to South-West as Demarcated at site and as shown on the Index Plan.

By order of Governor of Haryana.

S. P. CHOPRA,

Superintending Engineer,  
Pandit Jawahar Lal Nehru Canal Circle No. III,  
Rohtak.

#### CORRIGENDUM

The Khasra No. 27  
19, 21, 32 of Village Zaffarpur (District Sonapat) Mentioned in Gazette Notification No. 94 Dated 27.3.78 Under Section IV of the land acquisition ACT published at page 677 of Haryana Government Gazette, dated 11th April, 1978 may be read as 27 instead of 27  
19, 21, 22 19, 21, 32

(Sd.) . . .

Superintending Engineer,  
Jind Circle P. W. D. B.&R. Branch,  
Jind.